arXiv:1511.07906v5 [math.AG] 12 Oct 2016

AUTOMORPHISM AND COHOMOLOGY II: COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

XI CHEN[†], XUANYU PAN, AND DINGXIN ZHANG

Abstract. We prove that the automorphism group of a general complete intersection X in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is trivial with a few well-understood exceptions. We also prove that the automorphism group of a complete intersection X acts on the cohomology of X faithfully with a few well-understood exceptions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Equivariant Kodaira Spencer Map 4
3. Resolution of Relative Birational Maps 15
4. Complete intersections with nodes 19
5. Equivariant Deformations 22
6. Automorphism and Cohomology 24
Appendix A. The infinitesimal Torelli theorem in arbitrary field 30
References 35

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove two results concerning automorphisms of complete intersections in projective spaces. One result on the "generic triviality", other on the faithfulness of their actions on cohomology groups.

The earliest result in the first direction is due to [MM64]. In this paper H. Matsumura and P. Monsky proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Matsumura and Monsky)**.** *Let X be a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d over a field k. Then*

- $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ *is finite if* $n \geq 3$, $d \geq 3$ *and* $(n, d) \neq (3, 4)$;
- $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \{1\}$ *if X is generic,* $n \geq 3$ *and* $d \geq 3$ *except the case that* $(n, d) = (3, 4)$ *and* $\mathrm{char}\, k > 0$.

Date: November 24, 2015.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J50; Secondary 14F20.
Key words and phrases. Automorphisms, Complete Intersections, Deformation Theory.
[†] Research partially supported by NSERC 262265.

We settle the long standing open problem about the triviality of the automorphism group of a general complete intersection. To further explain the result let's make the following definition.

Definition 1.2. Let k be a field and X be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. The linear automorphism group of X with respect to the projective embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k$, denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ for $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$, is the subgroup of $\mathbf{PGL}_{n+1}(k)$ whose linear action on $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ takes X onto X itself.

The first item of Theorem 1.1 was generalized to complete intersections by O. Benoist [Ben13]. He shows that $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ is finite for a smooth complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with some well-known exceptions. His proof came down to the computation $H^0(T_X)$, which was achieved by an induction argument based on the spectral sequence associated to the Koszul complex. Our theorem shows that, away from a finite list of exceptions, the generic number of automorphisms is 1.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$, i.e., $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_c$ with $\deg X_i = d_i$. If $\dim X \geq 2$, $\deg X \geq 3$ and $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_c$, then*

1. *$\mathrm{Aut}_L(X) = \{1\}$ when $k = \mathbb{C}$ and X is a general complete intersection of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c) \neq (2, 2)$ and*
2. *$\mathrm{Aut}_L(X) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ for X smooth and general of type $(2, 2)$ and $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$.*

Remark 1.4. Retain the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 1.3 and suppose that X is one of the following:

- X is a smooth complete intersection of $\dim X \geq 3$, or
- X is a smooth complete intersection of $\dim X \geq 2$ and ω_X is non-trivial, or
- X is a very general complete intersection of $\dim X = 2$ and $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ i.e., a very general K3 surface.

Then it is known that $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$. Thus, by Theorem 1.3, when X is general in its moduli, the automorphism group of X is trivial.

The triviality of $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ for a general complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, to the best of our knowledge, was only known in some special cases:

- aforementioned hypersurface case [MM64];
- $3 \leq d_1 < d_2 \leq ... \leq d_c$ [JL, Lemma 2.12];
- $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_c) = (2, 2, 2)$ [JL, Lemma 2.13].

For a very general complete intersection X of Calabi-Yau or general type, we have a stronger statement: there are no non-trivial dominant rational self maps $\sigma : X \dashrightarrow X$ [Che13].

Our second result, which is derived from Theorem 1.3 above and the method in the papers [Pan15] and [Pan16] of the second author, concerns about the action of the automorphism group of a complete intersection on its cohomology group.

Theorem 1.5. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let X be a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$. Suppose that $\deg X \geq 3$ and $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_c$.*

(1) *If $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c) = (2, 2)$, $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ and $\dim(X) = m(> 1)$ is odd (resp. even), then the kernel of*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{H}^m_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}$ (resp. trivial) for X general, where ℓ is a prime different from $\mathrm{char}(k)$.

(2) *Suppose that X is not an elliptic curve. If $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c) \neq (2, 2)$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{H}^m_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \tag{1.5.1}$$

is injective.

Let's remark that the validity of item 1.5(2) relies on certain infinitesimal Torelli results for complete intersections. That is the injectivity of the cup product map

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^q(X, \Omega^p_X), \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(X, \Omega^{p-1}_X)). \tag{1.5.2}$$

The injectivity indeed holds true for all smooth complete intersections except some trivial cases when the characteristic of the k is zero (result of [Fle86]). In fact, Flenner's idea also generalizes to an algebraically field without assuming $k = \mathbb{C}$. We include a proof of this result in an appendix to the paper.

Let us briefly describe the structure of this paper.

As we find both illuminating, we present two different proofs of the generic triviality theorem. In Section 2 we give the first proof the generic triviality of $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$. This is done by studying the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ on $H^1(T_X)$.

In Section 5 we use equivariant deformation theory to derived Theorem 1.5 from Theorem 1.3 when the varieties are over a field of characteristic zero. The last section addresses varieties over an arbitrary field, where some special cases are carefully studied and the lifting theory as developed in [Pan16] is applied.

Acknowledgments. The second author thanks Prof. Johan de Jong for proposing the questions about automorphism and cohomology in the Summer school 2014 Seattle.

The authors were informed that recently Ariyan Javanpeykar and Daniel Loughran [JL], independent of what we have done here, are also able to prove the injectivity of the Betti analogue of (1.5.1) assuming the "generic triviality" hypothesis (i.e., assuming the validity of our Theorem 1.3(1)). In this paper, we settle the "generic triviality" issue, and bootstrap this to get the results that hold true even in positive characteristics by a systematical use of the lifting technique developed by the second author.

The paper of Ariyan Javanpeykar and Daniel Loughran cited above also discerns that the faithfulness of (1.5.1) has some interesting consequences in the realm of arithmetic of algebraic varieties. In fact, assuming (1.5.1), the curvature property of the period space implies that the moduli stack of these complete intersections is Brody hyperbolic. In particular, all subvarieties of this moduli stack are log-general type. This makes the moduli stack, when descends to a number field K, meet the hypothesis of the conjecture of Lang-Vojta. As argued as in Theorem 6.6 of [JL], Lang-Vojta conjecture would then imply the Shafarevich conjecture for these complete intersections. We thank A. Javanpeykar and D. Loughran for some email exchange explaining their work.

2. Equivariant Kodaira Spencer Map

Next, we will show that $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X) = \{1\}$ for X general with the exception of X of type $(2, 2)$. This is achieved by studying the natural action $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ on $H^1(T_X)$. We make the following simple observation on this action: if $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ can be "deformed" as X deforms in a family, then σ preserves the Kodaira-Spencer classes of this family at X.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a flat family of projective varieties over a smooth variety B with π smooth and proper. Then for a very general point $b \in B$, the image of the Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa : T_{B,b} \to H^1(T_{X_b})$ is invariant under the actions of* $\mathrm{Aut}(X_b)$.

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(X_b)$ is a locally Noetherian scheme. At a very general point b, every $\sigma_b \in \mathrm{Aut}(X_b)$ can be "deformed" over B. That is, after shrinking B and a base change unramified over b, there exists a $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(X/B)$ such that σ_b is the restriction of σ to b, where $\mathrm{Aut}(X/B)$ is the automorphism group of X preserving B. This gives us the commutative diagram

(2.1.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

which leads to the commuting exact sequences

(2.1.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & T_X\big|_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & N_{X_b/X} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{b,*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_*} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & T_X\big|_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & N_{X_b/X} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $N_{X_b/X} \cong \pi^* T_{B,b}$. Consequently, the resulting Kodaira-Spencer map commutes with the action of σ_b, i.e., the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{B,b} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H^1(T_{X_b}) \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_{b,*}} \\ T_{B,b} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H^1(T_{X_b}) \end{array} \tag{2.1.3}$$

commutes. Therefore, the image of κ is invariant under the action of σ_b. $\square$

As a complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ deforms in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the image of the Kodaira-Spencer map is exactly the cokernel $\operatorname{coker}(J_X)$ of J_X given in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n+1} & \xrightarrow{J_X} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_i)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \| \\ 0 \longrightarrow & H^0(T_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}\big|_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) \end{array} \tag{2.1.4}$$

where $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n+1} \to H^0(X, T_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ is induced by the Euler sequence and $N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}$ is the normal bundle of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Thus, by Proposition 2.1, $\operatorname{coker}(J_X)$ is invariant under the action $\operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$, i.e., $\operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{coker}(J_X)$ for X general.

Since we have known that $\operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$ is finite, every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$ has finite order. If $\operatorname{Aut}_L(X) \neq \{1\}$, then there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$ of order p for some p prime. That is, $\sigma^p = 1$ and $\sigma \neq 1$. A lift of σ to $H^0(\mathcal{O}(m))$ is diagonalizable for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and we may choose a lift such that

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(m)) = \bigoplus E_{m,\xi} \tag{2.1.5}$$

where $E_{m,\xi}$ is the eigenspace of σ acting on $H^0(\mathcal{O}(m))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue ξ satisfying $\xi^p = 1$.

Since $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_L(X)$, $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_L(X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_l)$ for all $d_l < d_{l+1}$. Therefore, σ also acts on the subspace $H^0(I_{X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_l}(m)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}(m))$ and this action is also diagonalizable, where $I_{X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_l}$ is the ideal sheaf of $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_l$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Thus, we can choose the defining equations $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_c$ of $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_c$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma(F_1) \\ \sigma(F_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(F_c) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & & & \\ & \xi_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi_c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ \vdots \\ F_c \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1.6}$$

for some $\xi_i^p = 1$. That is, $F_i \in E_{d_i,\xi_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, c$.

Note that J_X is explicitly given by

$$J_X \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{bmatrix} = \left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial Z_j}\right]_{c\times(n+1)} \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1.7}$$

for $(Z_0, Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Under our choice of the defining equations of X, the action of σ on $\operatorname{coker}(J_X)$ is induced by

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \\ \vdots \\ G_c \end{bmatrix}^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1^{-1} & & & \\ & \xi_2^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi_c^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(G_1) \\ \sigma(G_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(G_c) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1.8}$$

for $G_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(d_i))$. This comes from the observation that σ sends an infinitesimal deformation $\{F_i + t_i G_i = 0\}$ of X to

$$\{\sigma(F_i + t_i G_i) = 0\} = \{F_i + t_i \xi_i^{-1} \sigma(G_i) = 0\}.$$

The fact that σ acts trivially on $\operatorname{coker}(J_X)$ is equivalent to saying that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma(G_1) - \xi_1 G_1 \\ \sigma(G_2) - \xi_2 G_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(G_c) - \xi_c G_c \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Im} J_X \tag{2.1.9}$$

for all $G_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(d_i))$. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im} J_X + (E_{X,d_1,\xi_1} \oplus E_{X,d_2,\xi_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{X,d_c,\xi_c}) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_1)) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_2)) \oplus \ldots \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_c)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.10}$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(J_X)$ is the image of J_X and $E_{X,d,\xi}$ is the restriction of $E_{d,\xi}$ to $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d))$. We will show that this cannot hold by a dimension count. That is, we are going to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim\left(\operatorname{Im} J_X + (E_{X,d_1,\xi_1} \oplus E_{X,d_2,\xi_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{X,d_c,\xi_c})\right) \\ &< h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_1)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_2)) + ... + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d_c)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.11}$$

Let

$$a_j = \dim E_{1,\eta_j} \tag{2.1.12}$$

where $\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{p-1}$ are the p-th roots of unit. Note that $\sum a_j = n+1$ and at least two a_j's are positive, i.e., $\mu \geq 2$ for

$$\mu = \#\{j : a_j > 0, 0 \leq j \leq p-1\} \tag{2.1.13}$$

since $\sigma \neq 1$. Our argument for (2.1.11) is based on the following inequalities:

Lemma 2.2. *Let X, J_X, σ, ξ_i, E_{X,d_i,ξ_i} and a_j be given as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \operatorname{Im} J_X - \dim \left(\operatorname{Im} J_X \cap (E_{X,d_1,\xi_1} \oplus E_{X,d_2,\xi_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{X,d_c,\xi_c})\right)\\ &\leq (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We choose homogeneous coordinates $(Z_0, Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\sigma(Z_i) = \lambda_i Z_i$ for each i, where $\lambda_i \in \{\eta_0, \eta_1, ..., \eta_{p-1}\}$. We may identify $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n+1}$ with the space of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices via

$$\left[b_{kl}\right]_{0\leq k,l\leq n} \begin{bmatrix} Z_0 \\ Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_n \end{bmatrix} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n+1}.$$

For each j, let

$$V_j = \left\{ \left[b_{kl}\right] : b_{kl} = 0 \text{ for } \lambda_k \neq \eta_j \text{ or } \lambda_l \neq \eta_j \right\} \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n+1}$$

Then by (2.1.7), we see that

$$J_X(V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{p-1}) \subset E_{X,d_1,\xi_1} \oplus E_{X,d_2,\xi_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{X,d_c,\xi_c}.$$

Combining with the fact that $\dim V_j = a_j^2$, we arrive at (2.2.1). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let σ, $E_{d,\xi}$ and a_j be given as above. Then*

$$\binom{n+d}{d} - \dim E_{d,\xi} > (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 \tag{2.3.1}$$

if $d, n \geq 3$ and

$$\binom{n+2}{2} - \dim E_{2,\xi} \geq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 \tag{2.3.2}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. WLOG, we may assume that $a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_{p-1}$. We let $V_j = E_{1,\eta_j}$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}(d)) = &\operatorname{Sym}^d V_0 \oplus \sum_{j\neq 0} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_j \\ &\oplus \operatorname{Sym}^d V_1 \oplus \sum_{j\neq 1} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-1} V_1 \otimes V_j \\ &\oplus ... \oplus \operatorname{Sym}^d V_{p-1} \oplus \sum_{j\neq p-1} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-1} V_{p-1} \otimes V_j \\ &\oplus \sum_{i<j<k} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-2} V_i \otimes V_j \otimes V_k \oplus ... \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.3}$$

when $d \geq 3$. Note that $\mathrm{Sym}^d V_0$, $\mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_1$, ..., $\mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_{p-1}$ have different weights under the action of σ. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim E_{d,\xi} \cap \left(\mathrm{Sym}^d V_0 \oplus \sum_{j\neq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_j \right) \\ &\leq \max \Big(\dim \mathrm{Sym}^d V_0, \dim \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_1, \\ &\qquad \ldots, \dim \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_{p-1} \Big) \\ &= \max \left(\binom{a_0+d-1}{d}, \binom{a_0+d-2}{d-1}\binom{a_1}{1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.4}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \left(\mathrm{Sym}^d V_0 \oplus \sum_{j\neq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_j \right) \\ &- \dim E_{d,\xi} \cap \left(\mathrm{Sym}^d V_0 \oplus \sum_{j\neq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_0 \otimes V_j \right) \\ &\geq \binom{a_0+d-1}{d} + \sum_{j\neq 0} \binom{a_0+d-2}{d-1}\binom{a_j}{1} \\ &\quad - \max \left(\binom{a_0+d-1}{d}, \binom{a_0+d-2}{d-1}\binom{a_1}{1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.5}$$

By the same argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \left(\mathrm{Sym}^d V_i \oplus \sum_{j\neq i} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_i \otimes V_j \right) \\ &- \dim E_{d,\xi} \cap \left(\mathrm{Sym}^d V_i \oplus \sum_{j\neq i} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-1} V_i \otimes V_j \right) \\ &\geq \binom{a_i+d-1}{d} + \sum_{j\neq i, j\geq 1} \binom{a_i+d-2}{d-1}\binom{a_j}{1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.6}$$

for all $i \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \left(\operatorname{Sym}^{d-2} V_i \otimes V_j \otimes \sum_{k>j} V_k \right) \\ &- \dim E_{d,\xi} \cap \left(\operatorname{Sym}^{d-2} V_i \otimes V_j \otimes \sum_{k>j} V_k \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{k>j+1} \binom{a_i + d - 3}{d-2} \binom{a_j}{1} \binom{a_k}{1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.7}$$

for all $i < j$. Combining (2.3.5), (2.3.6) and (2.3.7), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+d}{d} - \dim E_{d,\xi} \geq &\sum_i \binom{a_i + d - 1}{d} + \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j \geq 1}} \binom{a_i + d - 2}{d-1} a_j \\ &- \max\left(\binom{a_0 + d - 1}{d}, \binom{a_0 + d - 2}{d-1} a_1 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i<j<k-1} \binom{a_i + d - 3}{d-2} a_j a_k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.8}$$

Note that the right hand side of (2.3.1) is simply $\sum_{i<j} 2a_i a_j$. So it suffices to verify the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{a_i + d - 2}{d-1} a_j + \binom{a_j + d - 2}{d-1} a_i \geq 2a_i a_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \\ &\binom{a_0 + d - 2}{d-1} a_j + \binom{a_j + d - 1}{d} \geq 2a_0 a_j \text{ for } j \geq 2 \\ &\min\left(\binom{a_0 + d - 1}{d}, \binom{a_0 + d - 2}{d-1} a_1 \right) + \binom{a_1 + d - 1}{d} \geq 2a_0 a_1 \\ &\sum_{i<j<k-1} \binom{a_i + d - 3}{d-2} a_j a_k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.9}$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\binom{n+d}{d} - \dim E_{d,\xi} \geq \sum_{i<j} 2a_i a_j = (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2. \tag{2.3.10}$$

And the equality in (2.3.10) holds only if all equalities hold in (2.3.5), (2.3.6), (2.3.7) and (2.3.9), which cannot happen. So (2.3.1) follows.

The proof of (2.3.2) is quite straightforward and we leave it to the readers. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let σ, $E_{d,\xi}$, a_j and μ be given as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim E_{d,\xi} \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{n+1-\mu} \frac{(\mu-1)^k}{\mu^{k+1}} \binom{n+d-k}{d} \\ & + \frac{(\mu-1)^{n+1-\mu}}{\mu^{n+2-\mu}} \binom{d+\mu-1}{d+1}\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.1}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. We let $e(d, a_0, a_1, ..., a_{p-1})$ be the maximum of $\dim E_{d,\xi}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and all σ such that $a_j = \dim E_{1,\eta_j}$.

We choose homogeneous coordinates $(Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\sigma(Z_i) = \lambda_i Z_0$ and $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{\mu-1}$ are distinct. We observe that for each monomial $Z_0^{b_0} Z_1^{b_1} ... Z_n^{b_n}$ with $b_0 > 0$, $Z_0^{b_0} Z_1^{b_1} ... Z_n^{b_n} (Z_i/Z_0)$ have different weights under the action of σ for $i = 0, 1, ..., \mu - 1$. This observation leads to the recursive inequality

$$e(d, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\mu}\binom{n+d}{d} + \frac{\mu-1}{\mu} e(d, a_0 - 1, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \tag{2.4.2}$$

where we assume that $a_0 = \max(a_j)$ and $\lambda_0 = \eta_0$.

It is easy to check that (2.4.1) follows from (2.4.2) by induction. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3. By induction, we just have to deal with the following cases:

- $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_c = d$ ($d \geq 3$ or $d, c \geq 2$)
- $2 = d_1 = d_2 < d_3 = \cdots = d_c = d$ and
- $2 = d_1 < d_2 = \cdots = d_c = d$.

We only need to prove (2.1.11) in these cases.

The case $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_c = d$. By (2.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \left(\operatorname{Im} J_X + (E_{X,d,\xi_1} \oplus E_{X,d,\xi_2} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{X,d,\xi_c})\right) \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4.3}$$

Thus, in order to prove (2.1.11), it suffices to prove

$$(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i} < c h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) = c\binom{n+d}{d} - c^2. \tag{2.4.4}$$

If $\xi_{i_1}, \xi_{i_2}, ...\xi_{i_k}$ are distinct, we observe that

$$\dim E_{X,d,\xi_{i_1}} + \dim E_{X,d,\xi_{i_2}} + \ldots + \dim E_{X,d,\xi_{i_k}} \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)). \tag{2.4.5}$$

We write $\{1, 2, ..., c\} = B_1 \sqcup B_2 \sqcup \ldots$ as a disjoint union of sets B_i such that $\xi_k = \xi_l$ if and only if $\{k, l\} \subset B_i$ for some i. Let $b_i = |B_i|$. Note that

$$\dim E_{d,\xi_k} - \dim E_{X,d,\xi_k} \geq b_i \text{ for } k \in B_i. \tag{2.4.6}$$

Suppose that $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots$. Combining (2.4.5) and (2.4.6), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i} &\leq b_2 h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) + \sum_{k\in B} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_k} \\ &\leq b_2 h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) + \sum_{k\in B} \dim E_{d,\xi_k} - b_1(b_1-b_2)\end{aligned}$$

for all $B \subset B_1$ with $|B| = b_1 - b_2$. Note that $b_1 + b_2 \leq c$. So it comes down to verifying

$$(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + (b_1-b_2)\dim E_{d,\xi} + 2b_1b_2 < b_1\binom{n+d}{d} \tag{2.4.7}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1 + b_2 = c$.

It is easy to check that (2.4.7) follows from (2.3.1) when $d \geq 3$ for all $n \geq c+2 \geq 3$ and from (2.3.2) when $d = 2$ for all $n \geq c+1 \geq 4$. This proves (2.4.7) and hence (2.4.4).

We are left with the only exceptional case $d = c = 2$, which is settled by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *For a general smooth intersection X of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$, $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ if $n \geq 4$ and $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$.*

Proof. Let $W \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a pencil of quadrics whose base locus is X. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts $H^0(I_X(2))$, every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ induces an automorphism of W with diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \tag{2.5.1}$$

Let $D = \{b \in \mathbb{P}^1 : W_b \text{ singular}\}$ be the discriminant locus of the pencil W. Clearly, $g(D) = D$.

We can make everything explicit. Let Q_A and Q_B be two members of the pencil whose defining equations are given by two symmetric matrices A and B. Obviously, we may take $A = I$ and assume that a member of pencil is given by $B - tI$. Then D consists of exactly the eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of B. By [Rei72, Proposition 2.1], the set $D = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is a set of $n+1 \geq 5$ general points on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Therefore, $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ sending D to D must be the identity map and σ preserves the fiberation $W/\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e., it induces an automorphism of W_b for each b. In particular, σ maps W_b to W_b for each $b \in D$. Every W_b has exactly one node, i.e., an ordinary double point p_i for $b = \lambda_i$, which is given by the eigenvector of B corresponding to λ_i. Clearly, σ fixes $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$.

The matrix B can always be orthogonally diagonalized (this follows from [Rei72, Proposition 2.1]). Therefore, W is given by

$$(\lambda_0 Z_0^2 + \lambda_1 Z_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n Z_n^2) - t(Z_0^2 + Z_1^2 + \cdots + Z_n^2) = 0 \tag{2.5.2}$$

after some action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Since σ fixes $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$, it has to be a $(k^*)^{n+1}$ action on $(Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$. And since it preserves the fibers of $W/\mathbb{P}^1$, it must be

$$\sigma(Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = (\pm Z_0, \pm Z_1, \ldots, \pm Z_n) \tag{2.5.3}$$

and we then conclude that $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}/\{\pm 1\} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. □

Example 2.6 (A (2,2)-complete intersection with an extra automorphism). Let k be an algebraically closed field. Assume that the characteristic of k is not equal to 2. Let $n > 0$ be an integer prime to the characteristic of k. Let η be a primitive nth root of 1. Consider the quadric hypersurfaces given by

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} : \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_i^2 = 0\}, \quad E = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} : \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta^i z_i^2 = 0\}.$$

Consider the linear transformation σ on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by

$$z_i \mapsto \eta^{1/2} z_{i+1},$$

where the subscripts are understood as integers modulo n. Then one has

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/n} z_i^2 \mapsto \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/n} \eta \cdot z_{i+1}^2, \quad \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/n} \eta^i z_i^2 \mapsto \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/n} \eta^i \cdot \eta \cdot z_{i+1}^2.$$

It follows σ is simultaneous an automorphism of D and E, hence the (2,2)-complete intersection $X = D \cap E$. This automorphism is not induced from the standard automorphism of X obtained by "flipping the factors".

It's elementary to check that X is nonsingular.

The case $2 = d_1 = d_2 < d_3 = \cdots = d_c = d$**.** By (2.2.1), it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned} &(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_1} + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_2} + \sum_{i=3}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i} \\ &< 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) + (c-2)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6.1}$$

where

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) = \binom{n+d}{n} - 2\binom{n+d-2}{n} + \binom{n+d-4}{n} - (c-2).$$

Applying the same argument as before to E_{X,d,ξ_i} for $i \geq 3$, we can further reduce (2.6.1) to

$$\begin{aligned} &(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \dim E_{X,2,\xi_i} + (b_1 - b_2) \dim E_{d,\xi} + 2b_1 b_2 \\ &< 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) + b_1 \left(\binom{n+d}{n} - 2\binom{n+d-2}{n} + \binom{n+d-4}{n} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6.2}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1 + b_2 = c - 2$.

For $E_{X,2,\xi_1}$ and $E_{X,2,\xi_2}$, it follows from (2.3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \dim E_{X,2,\xi_i} \\
&\leq (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \dim E_{2,\xi_i} - 2 \\
&\leq 2\binom{n+2}{2} - 2 = 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) + 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.3}$$

Suppose that $\mu \geq 3$. We have

$$\binom{n+d}{d} - \dim E_{d,\xi} \geq \frac{2}{3}\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \frac{4}{9}\binom{n+d-2}{d-1} \tag{2.6.4}$$

for $n \geq 3$ by (2.4.1). It is easy to check that

$$\frac{2}{3}\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \frac{4}{9}\binom{n+d-2}{d-1} > 2\binom{n+d-2}{n} + 2 \tag{2.6.5}$$

for all $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$. Thus, (2.6.2) follows from (2.6.3), (2.6.4) and (2.6.5) for all $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq c+1 \geq 4$.

Suppose that $\mu = 2$. If $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$,

$$\dim E_{X,2,\xi_1} + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_2} \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \tag{2.6.6}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \dim E_{X,2,\xi_i} \\
&\leq (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\
&\leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) = 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2))
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.7}$$

for $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, if $\xi_1 = \xi_2$,

$$\dim E_{X,2,\xi_1} + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_2} \leq \dim E_{2,\xi_1} + \dim E_{2,\xi_2} - 4 \tag{2.6.8}$$

and (2.6.7) still holds by (2.3.2).

By (2.4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{n+d}{d} - \dim E_{d,\xi} \geq \frac{1}{2}\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \frac{1}{4}\binom{n+d-2}{d-1} \\
+ \frac{1}{8}\binom{n+d-3}{d-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.9}$$

for $\mu \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{2}\binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \frac{1}{4}\binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + \frac{1}{8}\binom{n+d-3}{d-1} > 2\binom{n+d-2}{n} \tag{2.6.10}$$

for all $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$. Thus, (2.6.2) follows from (2.6.7), (2.6.9) and (2.6.10) for all $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq c+1 \geq 4$.

The case $2 = d_1 < d_2 = d_3 = \cdots = d_c = d$**.** By (2.2.1), it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned}(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_1} + \sum_{i=2}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i} \\ < h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) + (c-1)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d))\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.11}$$

where

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)) = \binom{n+d}{n} - \binom{n+d-2}{n} - (c-1).$$

By (2.3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \dim E_{X,2,\xi_1} - h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \dim E_{2,\xi_1} - 1 - \left(\binom{n+2}{2} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.12}$$

So (2.6.11) holds if

$$\frac{(n+1)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{c} \dim E_{X,d,\xi_i} < (c-1)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(d)). \tag{2.6.13}$$

Applying the same argument as before to E_{X,d,ξ_i} for $i \geq 2$, we can further reduce (2.6.13) to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(n+1)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j^2 + (b_1 - b_2)\dim E_{d,\xi} + 2b_1b_2 \\ < b_1\left(\binom{n+d}{n} - \binom{n+d-2}{n}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.14}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1 + b_2 = c-1$.

By (2.6.9) and (2.6.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(b_1 - b_2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\dim E_{d,\xi} + 2b_1b_2 \\ < \left(b_1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\binom{n+d}{n} - b_1\binom{n+d-2}{n}\end{aligned} \tag{2.6.15}$$

for all $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq c+2 \geq 4$. Combining (2.6.15) with (2.3.1), we obtain (2.6.14). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3. Resolution of Relative Birational Maps

This and next sections contains an alternative approach to Theorem 1.3.

A naive idea for proving the generic triviality of automorphism is to use the degeneration method specilizing a complete intersection to a special one, and verify the specialization does not admit any automorphism. However this method relies on the separatedness of the moduli space (i.e., one has to deal with the issue that when do automorphisms specialize along with the complete intersection).

O. Benoist's paper [Ben13] addresses this issue, but restricted to the realm of smooth complete intersections, where an automorpism-free example is hard to come up with; on the other hand, deducing separatedness in general requires some restrictions to singularities and may well fit into another article. To solve our problem, we only pursue this analysis in a simple degeneration situation: we only consider degenerations to double point singularities, and verify that in this process the automorphisms do specializes with the variety as well. With the presence of the singularity it's then easy to prove the triviality of the automorphism group.

Having said our idea and motivation, the key question in thus the following.

Question 3.1. Under what conditions can $f \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X^*/\Delta^*)$ be extended to $f \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X/\Delta)$ for a flat family $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta$ of closed subschemes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ over $\Delta = \{|t| < 1\}$? Here $\Delta^* = \{0 < |t| < 1\}$, $X^* = X \backslash X_0$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X/\Delta)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X^*/\Delta^*)$ are the automorphism groups of X and X^* preserving $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and the bases Δ and Δ^*, respectively.

Assuming that X_t is linearly nondegenerate, we may regard f as a birational self-map $f : \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta$ preserving the base Δ which is an automorphism over $\Delta^* = \{0 < |t| < 1\}$. Namely, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^* \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\ \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^* & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^* & = & \Delta^*. \end{array} \tag{3.1.1}$$

We want to show that if f sends X_t to itself for $t \neq 0$ and some $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta$, f extends to an automorphism over $t = 0$, i.e., f is regular. Let us first figure out how to resolve the indeterminacy of f.

Let $P = \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta$. The indeterminacy of f can be resolved by a sequence of blowups over linear subspaces

$$\Lambda_{f,1} \supsetneq \Lambda_{f,2} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq \Lambda_{f,l} \tag{3.1.2}$$

of $P_0 = \mathbb{P}^n$. More explicitly, we may choose homogeneous coordinates $(Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $f^*H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$ is spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} &t^{\mu_0}Z_0, t^{\mu_0}Z_1, \dots, t^{\mu_0}Z_{a_1},\\ &t^{\mu_1}Z_{a_1+1}, t^{\mu_1}Z_{a_1+2}, \dots, t^{\mu_1}Z_{a_2},\\ &\qquad\cdots\\ &t^{\mu_{l-1}}Z_{a_{l-1}+1}, t^{\mu_{l-1}}Z_{a_{l-1}+2}, \dots, t^{\mu_{l-1}}Z_{a_l},\\ &t^{\mu_l}Z_{a_l+1}, t^{\mu_l}Z_{a_l+2}, \dots, t^{\mu_l}Z_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.3}$$

for $0 = \mu_0 < \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_{l-1} < \mu_l$ and $0 \le a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{l-1} < a_l < n$. Then the indeterminacy of f is resolved by subsequently blowing up P along the subschemes

(3.1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 = Z_1 = \cdots = Z_{a_1} = t^{\mu_1-\mu_0} = 0,\\ \frac{Z_0}{t^{\mu_1-\mu_0}} = \frac{Z_1}{t^{\mu_1-\mu_0}} = \cdots = \frac{Z_{a_1}}{t^{\mu_1-\mu_0}} = Z_{a_1+1} = \cdots = Z_{a_2} = t^{\mu_2-\mu_1} = 0,\\ \vdots = \vdots\\ \frac{Z_0}{t^{\mu_{l-1}-\mu_0}} = \cdots = Z_{a_{l-1}+1} = \cdots = Z_{a_l} = t^{\mu_l-\mu_{l-1}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $\Lambda_{f,i}$ in (3.1.2) given by

$$\Lambda_{f,i} = \{Z_0 = Z_1 = \cdots = Z_{a_i} = 0\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, l. \tag{3.1.5}$$

Let $\rho_f : \widehat{P}_f \to P$ be the resulting resolution of $f : P \dashrightarrow P$ such that $f \circ \rho_f$ is regular.

Similarly, the indeterminacy of $g = f^{-1}$ can be resolved by a sequence of blowups over linear subspaces

$$\Lambda_{g,1} \supsetneq \Lambda_{g,2} \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \Lambda_{g,l} \tag{3.1.6}$$

with $\Lambda_{g,i}$ given by

$$\Lambda_{g,i} = \{Z_n = Z_{n-1} = \cdots = Z_{a_{l+1-i}+1} = 0\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, l. \tag{3.1.7}$$

Let $\rho_g : \widehat{P}_g \to P$ be the resulting resolution of $g : P \dashrightarrow P$ such that $g \circ \rho_g$ is regular. Clearly,

$$\dim \Lambda_{f,i} + \dim \Lambda_{g,l+1-i} = n - 1 \text{ and } \Lambda_{f,i} \cap \Lambda_{g,l+1-i} = \emptyset \tag{3.1.8}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l+1$, where we set $\Lambda_{f,0} = \Lambda_{g,0} = P_0$ and $\Lambda_{f,l+1} = \Lambda_{g,l+1} = \emptyset$.

Indeed, $(g \circ \rho_g)^{-1} \circ (f \circ \rho_f) : \widehat{P}_f \dashrightarrow \widehat{P}_g$ is regular and we have the diagram

(3.1.9)



Furthermore, the maps $f \circ \rho_f$ and $g \circ \rho_g$ can be decribed as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f^* P_0 &= F_0 + F_1 + \cdots + F_l \\ \rho_g^* P_0 &= G_0 + G_1 + \cdots + G_l \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.10}$$

where F_0 and G_0 are the proper transforms of P_0 under ρ_f and ρ_g and F_i and G_i are the exceptional divisors satisfying $\rho_f(F_i) = \Lambda_{f,i}$ and $\rho_g(G_i) = \Lambda_{g,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$, respectively. Then

$$f \circ \rho_f(F_i) = \Lambda_{g,l-i} \text{ and } g \circ \rho_g(G_i) = \Lambda_{f,l-i} \tag{3.1.11}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l$. Moreover, the map $F_i \to \Lambda_{g,l-i}$ is induced by the linear projection $\pi_{\Lambda_{f,i+1}} : P_0 \dashrightarrow \Lambda_{g,l-i}$ through $\Lambda_{f,i+1}$, where

$$\pi_\Lambda : \mathbb{P}^n \overset{|I_\Lambda(1)|}{- - - \succ} \mathbb{P}^{n-m-1} \tag{3.1.12}$$

is the linear projection through a linear subspace $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of $\dim \Lambda = m$. Similarly, the map $G_i \to \Lambda_{f,l-i}$ is induced by the linear projection $\pi_{\Lambda_{g,i+1}}$ through $\Lambda_{g,i+1}$.

Two lemmas on the extensibility of $f \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X^*/\Delta^*)$. Based on the above description of how $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^*/\Delta^*)$ is resolved as a birational map $f \in \mathrm{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta/\Delta)$, we can prove two lemmas on the extensibility of $f \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X^*/\Delta^*)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X and $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta$ be two flat families of closed subschemes of dimension $0 < m < n$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ over the unit disk $\Delta = \{|t| < 1\}$ and let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta^*/\Delta^*)$ be an automorphism inducing isomorphisms $f_t : X_t \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_t$ for $t \neq 0$. Suppose that for every linear subspace $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$,*

$$\Lambda \not\subset X_0 \cup Y_0 \text{ if } \dim \Lambda = \frac{m}{2}, \tag{3.2.1}$$

$$\max(\dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda), \dim(Y_0 \cap \Lambda)) \leq \max\left(\frac{m}{2}, m + \dim \Lambda - n\right) \text{ and} \tag{3.2.2}$$

$$\dim \pi_\Lambda(X_0 \backslash \Lambda) = \dim \pi_\Lambda(Y_0 \backslash \Lambda) = \min(m, n - \dim \Lambda - 1) \tag{3.2.3}$$

for the linear projection π_Λ through Λ defined in (3.1.12)*. Then f extends to an automorphism $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta/\Delta)$ inducing isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$.*

Proof. Using the same notations in 3, we assume that f is not regular. Namely, $l > 0$.

Let $\widehat{X} \subset \widehat{P}_f$ be the proper transform of X under ρ_f. By our analysis of $f \circ \rho_f$ in 3, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) &\subset Y_0 \cap \Lambda_{g,l-i} \text{ and} \\ \dim f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) &\geq \max\left(0, \dim \pi_{\Lambda_{f,i+1}}(X_0 \cap (\Lambda_{f,i} \backslash \Lambda_{f,i+1}))\right) \\ &\quad + m - \dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i}) \text{ if } X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i} \neq \emptyset \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.4}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$. By (3.2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) \geq m - \dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i}) \text{ if } \emptyset \neq X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i} \subset \Lambda_{f,i+1} \\ &\dim f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) \geq \min(m, \dim \Lambda_{g,l-i}) \text{ if } X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i} \not\subset \Lambda_{f,i+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.5}$$

If $X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i} \subset \Lambda_{f,i+1}$, $\dim \Lambda_{f,i} \leq n - m/2$ by (3.2.2) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(Y_0 \cap \Lambda_{g,l-i}) &\geq \dim f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) \\ &\geq \min(m, \dim \Lambda_{g,l-i}) \text{ if } \dim \Lambda_{f,i} > n - \frac{m}{2} \\ &\geq \min\Big(\frac{m}{2}, \dim \Lambda_{g,l-i}\Big) \text{ if } \dim \Lambda_{f,i} \geq n - m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

On the other hand, (3.2.2) implies that

$$\dim f \circ \rho_f(\widehat{X} \cap F_i) \leq \max\Big(\frac{m}{2}, m + \dim \Lambda_{g,l-i} - n\Big) \tag{3.2.7}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$. It follows that $\dim \Lambda_{g,l} \leq m/2$ and $\Lambda_{g,l} \subset Y_0$. Applying the same argument to g, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \Lambda_{f,l} \leq \frac{m}{2} \text{ and } \Lambda_{f,l} \subset X_0 \\ &\dim \Lambda_{g,l} \leq \frac{m}{2} \text{ and } \Lambda_{g,l} \subset Y_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.8}$$

In addition, since Y_0 does not contain linear subspaces of dimension $m/2$ by (3.2.1), $\dim \Lambda_{g,l} < m/2$. Then

$$\dim(Y_0 \cap \Lambda_{g,l-1}) \geq \min\Big(\frac{m}{2}, \dim \Lambda_{g,l-1}\Big) > \dim \Lambda_{g,l} \tag{3.2.9}$$

by (3.2.6) and hence $Y_0 \cap \Lambda_{g,l-1} \not\subset \Lambda_{g,l}$. Therefore,

$$\dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,1}) \geq \dim g \circ \rho_g(\widehat{Y} \cap G_{l-1}) \geq \min(m, \dim \Lambda_{f,1}) \tag{3.2.10}$$

where $\widehat{Y} \subset \widehat{P}_g$ is the proper transform of Y under $\rho_g : \widehat{P}_g \to P$. This contradicts

$$\dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,1}) \leq \max\Big(\frac{m}{2}, m + \dim \Lambda_{f,1} - n\Big) \tag{3.2.11}$$

since

$$\dim \Lambda_{f,1} = n - \dim \Lambda_{g,l} - 1 > n - \frac{m}{2} - 1 \geq \frac{m}{2}. \tag{3.2.12}$$

Therefore, $l = 0$ and f must be regular. □

The condition (3.2.1) is not entirely satisfactory to us because a smooth complete intersection of dimension m in $\mathbb{P}^n$ might contain a linear subspace of dimension up to $m/2$, which is the maximum. The next lemma deals with this case, where we remove (3.2.1) at the cost of some extra hypotheses.

Lemma 3.3. *With almost the same hypotheses in Lemma 3.2 and the removal of* (3.2.1)*, we further assume that*

- *X_0 and Y_0 have pure dimension m;*

- *for every linear subspace* $\Lambda \subset X_0$ *or* $\Lambda \subset Y_0$ *of* $\dim \Lambda = m/2$, X_0 *or* Y_0 *is smooth at a general point of* Λ*;*
- *for every linear subspace* $\Lambda \subset X_0$ *or* $\Lambda \subset Y_0$ *of* $\dim \Lambda = m/2$ *and a general linear subspace* $\Sigma \supset \Lambda$ *of* $\dim \Sigma = n-m/2$, $S_\Sigma \ncong \mathbb{P}^{m/2}$, *where* S_Σ *is the closed subscheme of* X_0 *or* Y_0 *satisfying* $X_0 \cap \Sigma = \Lambda \cup S_\Sigma$ *or* $Y_0 \cap \Sigma = \Lambda \cup S_\Sigma$.

Then f *extends to an automorphism* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \Delta/\Delta)$ *inducing isomorphism* $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$.

Proof. Suppose that $l > 0$.

The same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.2 produces

$$\dim \Lambda_{f,l} = \dim \Lambda_{g,l} = \frac{m}{2}, \Lambda_{f,l} \subset X_0 \text{ and } \Lambda_{g,l} \subset Y_0. \tag{3.3.1}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}^{n-m/2-1} \cong \Lambda_{f,1} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq \Lambda_{f,l} \cong \mathbb{P}^{m/2} \\ &\mathbb{P}^{n-m/2-1} \cong \Lambda_{g,1} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq \Lambda_{g,l} \cong \mathbb{P}^{m/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

Now let us consider the map $f \circ \rho_f : \widehat{X} \cap F_l \to P_0$. Clearly, $f \circ \rho_f$ maps $\widehat{X} \cap F_l$ birationally onto Y_0 since $f \circ \rho_f(F_i) \subset \Lambda_{g,l-i}$ for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$. Since X_0 is smooth at a general point of $\Lambda_{f,l}$ and $\dim(X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i}) = m/2$, the scheme-theoretical intersection $X_0 \cap \Lambda_{f,i}$ is smooth at a general point of $\Lambda_{f,l}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. Therefore, we see that a general fiber of $\rho_f : \widehat{X} \cap F_l \to \Lambda_{f,l}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{m/2}$, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_p = \widehat{X} \cap F_l \cap \rho_f^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{m/2} \tag{3.3.3}$$

for a general point $p \in \Lambda_{f,l}$.

On the other hand, $(f \circ \rho_f)^{-1} : Y_0 \dashrightarrow \widehat{X} \cap F_l$ is induced by the linear projection $\pi_{\Lambda_{g,1}}$. Therefore, $f \circ \rho_f$ maps Γ_p isomorphically to S_Σ for a general linear subspace $\Sigma \supset \Lambda_{g,1} \supset \Lambda_{g,l}$ of dimension $n-m/2$ and $Y_0 \cap \Sigma = \Lambda_{g,l} \cup S_\Sigma$. Our hypothesis says that $S_\Sigma \ncong \mathbb{P}^{m/2}$. Contradiction. □

4. Complete intersections with nodes

To show the triviality of $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ for a general complete intersection X, it suffices to produce a special X_0 satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 or 3.3 and $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X_0) = \{1\}$. Here we consider a complete intersection $X_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with $n+1$ nodes (ordinary double points) in general position. An automorphism in $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X_0)$ permutes these $n+1$ points and hence lies in the subgroup $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \Sigma_{n+1}$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Then it is easy to show that $\mathrm{Aut}(X_0) = \{1\}$. The slightly trickier part is the verification of (3.2.2) and (3.2.3). For that purpose, we first prove a few lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_c$ *be a complete intersection in* $\mathbb{P}^n$. *If* $\dim X = n - c$, $\deg X_i \geq 2$ *and* X *is irreducible with isolated hypersurface*

singularities, then (3.2.2) *holds for* X*, i.e.,*

$$\dim(X \cap \Lambda) \leq \max\left(\frac{n-c}{2}, \dim\Lambda - c\right) \tag{4.1.1}$$

for all linear subspaces $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$*.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma_{X,\Lambda}$ be the variety consisting of the points $p \in X \cap \Lambda$ where X and Λ fail to meet transversely. Namely, $\Sigma_{X,\Lambda}$ contains the singular locus $(X\cap\Lambda)_{\text{sing}}$ of $X\cap\Lambda$ and also the points where X and Λ fail to meet properly.

It suffices to show

$$\dim\Sigma_{X,\Lambda} \leq n - \dim\Lambda \tag{4.1.2}$$

for all linear subspaces $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

To see that (4.1.2) implies (4.1.1), we observe that $X \cap \Lambda$ is integral of dimension $\dim\Lambda - c$ if

$$\dim\Lambda - c > \dim\Sigma_{X,\Lambda} + 1. \tag{4.1.3}$$

On the other hand, if $X \cap \Lambda$ is integral of dimension $\dim\Lambda - c$, then

$$\dim(X \cap \Lambda') < \dim\Lambda - c \tag{4.1.4}$$

for all linear subspaces $\Lambda' \subsetneq \Lambda$ since X is linearly nondegenerate. Thus, inductively, we see that

$$\dim(X \cap \Lambda) \leq \dim\Lambda - c \tag{4.1.5}$$

for all linear subspaces Λ of $\dim\Lambda > (n+c-1)/2$ and hence (4.1.1) follows if we assume (4.1.2). It remains to prove (4.1.2).

Without loss of generality, let $\Lambda = \{Z_0 = Z_1 = \cdots = Z_{l-1} = 0\}$ for some choice of the homogeneous coordinates $(Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$, where $\dim\Lambda = n - l$. Then

$$\Sigma_{X,\Lambda} \subset \left\{\operatorname{rank}\left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial Z_j} : 1 \leq i \leq c, l \leq j \leq n\right] < c\right\} \cap X \tag{4.1.6}$$

where $F_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(X_i))$ are the defining equations of X_i. We claim that

$$\dim X_{\text{sing}} = \dim\left\{\operatorname{rank}\left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial Z_j}\right]_{c\times(n+1)} < c\right\} \cap X \geq \dim\Sigma_{X,\Lambda} - l \tag{4.1.7}$$

and then (4.1.2) follows from the fact that $\dim X_{\text{sing}} = 0$.

To see (4.1.7), we define U and $V \subset \mathbb{P}(d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1, \ldots, d_c - 1) \times \mathbb{P}^n$ to be the varieties given by

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \{G_0(Y,Z) = G_1(Y,Z) = \cdots = G_n(Y,Z) = 0\} \text{ and} \\ V &= \{G_l(Y,Z) = G_{l+1}(Y,Z) = \cdots = G_n(Y,Z) = 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.8}$$

respectively, where $\mathbb{P}(d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1, \ldots, d_c - 1)$ is the weighted projective space of weights $d_i - 1 = \deg X_i - 1$ and

$$G_j(Y,Z) = Y_1\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Z_j} + Y_2\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial Z_j} + \cdots + Y_c\frac{\partial F_c}{\partial Z_j} \tag{4.1.9}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Then $X_{\text{sing}} = \pi(U) \cap X$ and $\Sigma_{X,\Lambda} \subset \pi(V) \cap X$ for the projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}(d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1, \ldots, d_c - 1) \times \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$. Therefore,

$$\dim(U \cap \pi^{-1}(X)) \geq \dim(V \cap \pi^{-1}(X)) - l \geq \dim \Sigma_{X,\Lambda} - l. \tag{4.1.10}$$

On the other hand, since X has only hypersurface singularities, π maps $U \cap \pi^{-1}(X)$ bijectively to X_{sing} and (4.1.7) follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an integral hypersurface of degree $d \geq 3$ with a singularity at q of multiplicity d, i.e., a cone with vertex q over an integral hypersurface of degree $d \geq 3$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, and let $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_c$ be a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If $\dim X = n - k$, $\deg X_i \geq 2$, $q \in X$ and X has at worst a node at q, then $X \not\subset Q$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at q and $\widehat{Q}, \widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}_i$ be the proper transforms of Q, X and X_i under σ, respectively. Then

$$\widehat{Q} = \sigma^* Q - dE \text{ and } \widehat{X}_i = \sigma^* X_i - m_i E \tag{4.2.1}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, where E is the exceptional divisor of σ. Since X has at worst a node at q, we see that at most one of $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_i$ is 2 with the rest being 1. In addition, it also implies that $\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \ldots, \widehat{X}_c$ and E meet properly in $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ and hence $\widehat{X} = \widehat{X}_1 \cap \widehat{X}_2 \cap \ldots \cap \widehat{X}_c$.

If $X \subset Q$, then $\widehat{X} = \widehat{X}_1 \cap \widehat{X}_2 \cap \ldots \cap \widehat{X}_c \subset \widehat{Q}$ and hence $F_{\widehat{Q}}$ lies in the image of the map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} H^0(\mathcal{O}(\widehat{Q} - \widehat{X}_i)) \xrightarrow{(s_i) \to \sum F_{\widehat{X}_i} s_i} H^0(\mathcal{O}(\widehat{Q})) \tag{4.2.2}$$

on $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$, where $F_{\widehat{Q}} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(\widehat{Q}))$ and $F_{\widehat{X}_i} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(\widehat{X}_i))$ are the sections with zero loci $\widehat{Q}$ and $\widehat{X}_i$, respectively. Since $\deg X_i \geq 2 \geq m_i$ and $\deg Q = d \geq 3$, $H^0(\mathcal{O}(\widehat{Q} - \widehat{X}_i)) = 0$ unless $\deg X_i = 2$ and $m_i = 2$. There is at most one $m_i = 2$ and hence $\widehat{Q}$ is reducible. Contradiction. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_c$ be a complete intersection of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If $\dim X = n - c$, $2 \leq d_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_c$, X has at worst nodes as singularities and $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_i$ is smooth for all $d_i = 2$, then* (3.2.3) *holds for X, i.e.,*

$$\dim \pi_\Lambda(X \backslash \Lambda) = \min(\dim X, n - \dim \Lambda - 1) \tag{4.3.1}$$

for all linear subspaces $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and linear projections π_Λ through Λ.

Proof. It suffices to prove it for $\dim \Lambda = c - 1$. If $X \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$, we may cut X by a general hyperplane Σ containing Λ and thus reduce the lemma to $X \cap \Sigma \subset \Sigma \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Suppose that $X \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$ and $q \in X \cap \Lambda$.

Suppose that (4.3.1) fails. Then X is contained in a hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, which is a cone with vertices Λ over a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n-c}$. We may choose Q to be reduced and irreducible, i.e., integral.

If $\deg Q = 2$, then $Q \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(2))$ lies in the linear system spanned by X_i with $\deg X_i = 2$. But since $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_i$ is smooth for all $\deg X_i = 2$,

Q must be smooth at q. Contradiction. So $\deg Q \geq 3$. But $X \not\subset Q$ by Lemma 4.2. Contradiction. □

Proposition 4.4. *For $n \geq k+2 \geq 3$, $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_c$ and $d_c \geq 3$, there exists a complete intersection of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $n+1$ nodes in general position. Furthermore, if $d_c \geq 4$ or $n \geq 4$, there exists a complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$ with $n+1$ nodes in general position such that $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X) = \{1\}$ and X satisfies the hypotheses in Lemma 3.2 or 3.3.*

Proof. Let $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be $n+1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Obviously, there exist hypersurfaces of degree $d \geq 3$ with $n+1$ nodes at $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &X_i \in |\mathcal{O}(d_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-p_1 - p_2 - \cdots - p_n)| \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1 \\ &X_c \in |\mathcal{O}(d_c) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2p_1 - 2p_2 - \cdots - 2p_n)|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.1}$$

Then $X = X_1 \cap X_2 \cap \ldots \cap X_c$ is a complete intersection with nodes at $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ for a general choice of X_i. Since $X_{\mathrm{sing}} = \{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times \Sigma_{n+1}$ and it is easy to check that $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X) = \{1\}$ as long as $(n, d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c) \neq (3, 3)$.

Clearly, (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) hold for X by Lemma 4.1 and 4.3.

Finally, it is easy to verify that either X contains no linear subspaces Λ of $\dim \Lambda = m/2$ or it satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3. □

5. Equivariant Deformations

In this section, we use equivariant deformation theory to show that the automorphism group of a complete intersection (except some cases) acts on the cohomology groups faithfully over complex numbers.

Let us first recall equivariant deformation theory from [Ill72b, Ill72a] and [Wew05]. We refer to [AJ00] for a more elementary introduction of equivariant deformation theory for smooth varieties. We freely use the notations and results from [Wew05]. Suppose Y is a scheme over a field k with a group action

$$G \times Y \to Y.$$

Let $\mathbb{L}^G_{Y/k}$ be the equivariant cotangent complex with respect to the group action. The complex $\mathbb{L}^G_{Y/k}$ is a complex of G-$\mathcal{O}_Y$-module, moreover, the underlying complex of $\mathbb{L}^G_{Y/k}$ is the ordinary contangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{Y/k}$. There is a forget map F which is the identity on the underlying complex

$$\mathrm{F} : \mathbb{L}^G_{Y/k} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{L}_{Y/k}\ .$$

It gives rise to a natural forgetful map

$$\mathrm{F} : \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^q_{G-\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathbb{L}^G_{Y/k}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \to \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^q_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathbb{L}_{Y/k}, \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

In the following, we assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Recall that there is a natural forgetful map from the equivariant deformation space Def(Y,G) to the ordinary

deformation space Def(Y):

$$\mathrm{Def}(Y,G) \to \mathrm{Def}(Y).$$

Note that there is a spectral sequence as follows

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_Y^q(A,B)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_G^{p+q}(A,B) \tag{5.0.2}$$

where A, B are two complexes of G-$\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules, cf. [Wew05, Page 1163]. In particular, if G is a finite group, then the spectral sequence (5.0.2) degenerates at the E_2 page for $A = \mathbb{L}_Y^G$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_Y$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_Y^q(\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)^G & = & \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_G^q(\mathbb{L}_Y^G, \mathcal{O}_Y)\ . \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mathrm{F} \\ & & \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_Y^q(\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array}$$

The map F is injective. Moreover, it follows from equivariant deformation theory that the map

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_G^2(\mathbb{L}_Y^G, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{F}} \mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_Y^2(\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

associates to the obstruction $\mathrm{obs}(Y_n, G)$ the obstruction $\mathrm{obs}(Y_n)$ with respect to the small extension $0 \to \mathbb{C} \to R' \to R \to 0$ of an Artin $\mathbb{C}$-algebra R, where Y_n is a deformation of Y over R with G action. So if Y is unobstructed ($\mathrm{obs}(Y_n) = 0$), then (Y, G) is unobstructed. By the Kodaira vanishing theorem, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}^2(\mathbb{L}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^2(Y, T_Y) = H^{l-2}(Y, \Omega_Y^1 \otimes K_Y) = 0$$

if Y is a Fano variety over complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and of dimension l. If Y is a proper Calabi-Yau algebraic manifold, then Y is unobstructed by [Kaw92] and [Tia87]. Therefore, in both cases, the equivariant deformation of (Y, G) is unobstructed, i.e., the deformation space $\mathrm{Def}(Y, G)$ is smooth.

Suppose that the finite group G is generated by an automorphism g of Y such that $H^*(g) = \mathrm{Id}$ on $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(Y, T_Y)^G & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p), H^{q+1}(Y, \Omega_Y^{p-1}))^G \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ H^1(Y, T_Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p), H^{q+1}(Y, \Omega_Y^{p-1})) \end{array}$$

where $p + q = \dim(Y)$. By the infinitesimal Torelli theorem [Fle86], the bottom arrow is injective for some p and q if Y is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ with $(n, d_1, \ldots, d_c) \neq (3,3)$ or $(n,2,2)$. In particular, we have $H^1(Y, T_Y)^G = H^1(Y, T_Y)$.

Note that the tangent space of $\mathrm{Def}(Y, G)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{xt}_Y^1(\mathbb{L}_Y^G, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^1(Y, T_Y)^G.$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{Def}(Y, G) = \mathrm{Def}(Y) \tag{5.0.3}$$

if Y is a Fano or Calabi-Yau complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ with $(n, d_1, \ldots, d_c) \neq (3, 3)$ or $(n, 2, 2)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a smooth complete intersection in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ of type $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c)$ for $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_c) \neq (2, 2)$. If X is not a cubic surface or an elliptic curve, then the map*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(H^m(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

is injective where $m = n - c$.

Proof. The proposition is well-known for smooth algebraic curves of genus at least two and K3 surfaces. If X is a surface with nontrivial canonical bundle, or the dimension of X is at least 3, then we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}_L(X).$$

Suppose g is a linear automorphism of X acting trivially on $H^m(X, \mathbb{Q})$. If X is a Fano or Calabi-Yau complete intersection, then we know that g is extendable to any nearby deformation Y of X by (5.0.3). It follows from Theorem 1.3 that g is a specialization of the identity maps. Therefore, we conclude that g is the identity.

Note that the induced action of g on the ring

$$S_X = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^d(H^0(X, \omega_X))$$

is trivial. If X is a complete intersection of general type, then it follows that g induces the identity on the scheme $X(\subseteq \mathrm{Proj}(S_X))$, thanks to the fact that X has very ample canonical bundle. □

Remark 5.2. By [Pan16, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3], we have an alternative way to show this theorem.

6. Automorphism and Cohomology

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a smooth cubic surface over an algebraically closed field k. If g is an automorphism of X such that $g^* = \mathrm{Id}$ on $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ for a prime $l \neq \mathrm{char}(k)$, then $g = \mathrm{Id}$.*

Proof. It is clear that X is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at general six points $p_1, \ldots, p_6$. Denote by $\mathrm{Bl} : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the blow-up. It is clear that $H^2(X)$ has a basis $\{\mathrm{Bl}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)), l_1, \ldots, l_6\}$ where l_i is the exceptional line corresponding to the point p_i. By the hypothesis, the automorphism g fixes the lines $l_1, \ldots, l_6$. It induces an automorphism $\widehat{g} : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\widehat{g} \circ \mathrm{Bl} = \mathrm{Bl} \circ g$. It follows that $\widehat{g}(p_i) = p_i$. Since the six points p_i are in general position, we conclude $\widehat{g} = \mathrm{Id}$. Therefore, we have $g = \mathrm{Id}$. □

Intersection of quadrics. In the following, we deal with the automorphism groups of intersections of quadrics. We freely use the notations following [Rei72]. We work over an algebraically closed field k and assume the characteristic of k is not equal to 2.

Let X be a smooth complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. Suppose the dimension of X is m. By [Rei72, Proposition 2.1], the complete intersection X is projectively equivalent to $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ with

$$Q_1 = V(\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_i^2 = 0),\ Q_2 = V(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i X_i^2 = 0) \tag{6.1.1}$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}$ are distinct elements in k. We assume the intersection X of two quadrics is general. By Theorem 1.3, the automorphisms of X are given by $(\pm X_0, \pm X_1, \ldots, \pm X_n)$. In other words, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}/\{\pm 1\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n = G.$$

Let us denote the automorphism $(X_0, \ldots, X_{i-1}, -X_i, X_{i+1} \ldots, X_n)$ by σ_i (cf. [Rei72, Page 37]). Suppose $\mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$ is the kernel of

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(H^m_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)).$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *If m is even, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$. By [Rei72, Chapter 3, Definition on Page 48], we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(X) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}} & A(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^m(X) & \xrightarrow{g^*=\mathrm{Id}} & H^m(X) \end{array}$$

We refer to [Rei72, Chapter 3, Page 48] for the precise definition of $A(X)$. It follows from the remark [Rei72, Chapter 3, Page 49] that the map

$$A(X) \to H^m(X)$$

is injective. In particular, we have $\widehat{g} = \mathrm{Id}$. By [Rei72, Proposition 3.18], we have an injection

$$G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(A(X), \cdot, \eta) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A(X)).$$

We refer to [Rei72, Chapter 3 Page 41] for the precise definition of Σ. Therefore, we have $g = \mathrm{Id}$. □

Lemma 6.3. *If m is odd and at least 3, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}$. Suppose an automorphism g of X is given by $\sigma_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_{i_s}$. Then $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$ if and only if s is even.*

Proof. Suppose $m = 2e+1$. Let S be the variety parameterizing e-planes in X. By [Rei72, Chapter 4, Page 55], the variety S is nonsingular of dimension $e+1$. The obvious incidence subvariety $T = \{(s,x)|x \in s\} \subset S \times X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T & \\ {\scriptstyle p_1}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle p_2} \\ S & & X \end{array}$$

induces an isomorphism $H^1(S) \cong H^{2e+1}(X)$ with respect to the action of $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$, cf. [Rei72, Theorem 4.14]. Namely, the map g induces $\widehat{g} : S \to S$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2e+1}(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{g}^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} \\ H^1(S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2e+1}(X). \end{array}$$

We claim that if $\widehat{g}$ has a fixed point and $\widehat{g}^* = \mathrm{Id}$, then $g = \mathrm{Id}$ on X. In fact, by [Rei72, Theorem 4.8], we know S is an abelian variety. From the injection

$$\mathrm{End}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(S)),$$

it follows that $\widehat{g} = \mathrm{Id}$. On the other hand, a general point $p \in X$ is the intersection of e-planes in X which contain p, cf. Lemma 6.4. In other words, we have $p = \cap P_i$ where P_i goes through e-planes in X containing p. It follows that

$$g(p) = g(\cap_{p\in P_i} P_i) = \cap_{p\in P_i} \widehat{g}(P_i) = \cap_{p\in P_i} P_i = p$$

for a general point $p \in X$. We conclude that $g = \mathrm{Id}$.

We claim that $\sigma_i^* = -\mathrm{Id}$ on $H^m(X) \cong H^1(S)$. In fact, since the automorphism σ_i fixes $\{X_i = 0\} \cap X$ (cf. (6.1.1)) which is a smooth complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ of dimension $2e$, it is clear that σ_i fixes all the e-planes in $\{X_i = 0\} \cap X$. Let s be a e-plane in $\{X_i = 0\} \cap X$. It follows that $\widehat{\sigma_i}([s]) = [s]$. Let C be the hyperelliptic curve (cf. [Rei72, Page 56]) given by:

$$z^2 = \Pi_{i=0}^n (x - \lambda_i y).$$

For the point $[s] \in S$, by [Rei72, Proposition 4.2], we have a map

$$\Psi : C \to S$$

whose image is the closure of $C_s^{1'} = \{t \in S | \dim(s \cap t) = n-1\}$. Therefore, the automorphism $\widehat{\sigma_i} : S \to S$ maps $C_s^{1'}$ to $C_s^{1'}$. It induces an automorphism

$$g_i : C \to C$$

such that $\widehat{\sigma_i} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ g_i$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & S \\ {\scriptstyle g_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{\sigma_i}} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & S \end{array}$$

By [Rei72, Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.5, Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.8], we conclude that the morphism Ψ induces an isomorphism

$$\Psi^* : \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(C, \mathbb{Q}_l) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_l).$$

By the main theorem of [Poo00], there are some λ_i such that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C) = \{1, \iota\}$$

where ι is the natural involution. In particular, it follows from the previous claim that $(\widehat{\sigma_i})^* \neq \mathrm{Id}$. We conclude $g_i \neq \mathrm{Id}$. Therefore, we have $g_i = \iota$. It follows that $\widehat{\sigma_i}^* = -\mathrm{Id}$ on $H^1(S) = H^m(X)$. So we show the claim holds for one particular intersection $X = X_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ of two quadrics which is determined by $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^n$. In general, we consider the smooth family of complete intersections of two quadrics

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{\{\lambda_i\}} & \subseteq & \widehat{X} = \{\sum X_i^2 = 0, \sum \lambda_i X_i^2 = 0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\lambda_i\} & \in & B \end{array}$$

Obviously, the automorphism σ_i acts on $\widehat{X}$ fiberwisely. Therefore, for any smooth complete intersection X of two quadrics, we have $\sigma_i^* = -\mathrm{Id}$ on $H^m(X)$. We prove the claim.

Hence, for an automorphism $g = \sigma_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_{i_s}$ of X, we conclude that $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_{tr}(X)$ if and only if s is even. □

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that X is a smooth complete intersection of type $(2, 2)$. If $\dim(X) = m = 2e+1$ is odd, then a general point $p \in X$ is the intersection of two e-planes in X.*

Proof. Suppose $T_{X,p}$ is the projective tangent space to X at p. By a remark in [Rei72, Page 65], the intersection $T_{X,p} \cap X$ is a cone of a nonsingular intersection Y of two quadrics in $T_{X,p}$ if p is a general point of X. It is clear that $\dim(Y) = m - 3$ is even. By [Rei72, Theorem 3.8], there are two $(e-1)$-planes s and t in Y such that $s \cap t$ is empty. Note that the cones $\mathrm{Cone}(s)$ and $\mathrm{Cone}(t)$ with vertex p are two e-planes in X containing p. It is clear that the intersection $\mathrm{Cone}(s) \cap \mathrm{Cone}(t)$ is the point p. We show the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof. According to the lemmas in this section and Proposition 5.1, it suffices to show that the theorem holds for the complete intersection X over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic when X is not a cubic surface or a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$.

In fact, it follows from [DM69, Lemma 1.14] that the theorem holds if the dimension of X is one and $(n, d_1, \ldots, d_c) \neq (3,2,2)$ (in this case, X is an elliptic curve). If the dimension of X is two, then we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$$

for X being Fano or of general type. If X is a $K3$ surface, then the theorem follows from [Riz06, Theorem 3.4.2] if we assume $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$. However, we do not need this assumption for a complete intersection K3 surface. In fact, if an automorphism g of X is fixing $\mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, then g is fixing the natural polarization $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, i.e., $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ since the map

$$\mathrm{Pic(X)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

is injective. Therefore, we are reduced to proving the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_L(X)$ on the cohomology is faithful.

Suppose that $f : X \to X$ is a linear automorphism. Then it is of finite order, cf. [Ben13]. We can apply the same method as [Pan15, Lemma 2.2] to show that $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}_{\text{ét}}(f) = \mathrm{Id}$ if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{cris}}(f) = \mathrm{Id}$.

Let $g : \overline{X} \to W(k)$ be a lifting of X over the Witt ring $W(k)$ and π be the uniformizer of $W(k)$. Assume $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}_{\text{ét}}(f) = \mathrm{Id}$. If the infinitesmal Torelli Theorem holds for X, i.e., the cup product

$$\Psi_0 : \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^q(X, \Omega^p_X), \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(X, \Omega^{p-1}_X)) \tag{6.4.1}$$

is injective, cf. Appendix A, then, by [Pan16, Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.6], such an automorphism f can be lifted from X over k to a lifting $\overline{X}$ of X over the Witt ring $W(k) = W$.

For the sake of completeness, we sketch the proof of [Pan16, Theorem 5.5] here. We have smooth morphisms

$$g_n : X_n \to W_n$$

where $g_n = g|_{W_n}$ is the restriction of g to $W_n = W/(\pi^{n+1})$. It follows from the injection (6.4.1) that we have an injective cup product

$$\begin{array}{c} R^1 g_{0*}(f_0^* T_{X_0/k}) \otimes_k (\pi^{n+1}) \\ \Big\downarrow \widehat{\Psi}_n \\ \bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathrm{Hom}(R^q g_{0*}(\Omega^p_{X_0/k}), R^{q+1} g_{0*}(\Omega^{p-1}_{X_0/k}) \otimes_k (\pi^{n+1})) \end{array}$$

where we use the fact that the Hodge spectral sequence of X_n/W_n degenerates at E_1 and are locally free so that the Hodge and de Rham cohomology sheaves commute with base change ([SGA73, Exp IX]).

On the other hand, the map $f_n : X_n/W_{n+1} \to X_n/W_{n+1}$ induces a map $H^{p+q}_{cris}(f_n)$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{p+q}_{cris}(X_n/W_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{p+q}_{cris}(X_n/W_{n+1}) \\ \| & & \| \\ H^{p+q}_{DR}(X_{n+1}/W_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{H^{p+q}_{cris}(f_n)} & H^{p+q}_{DR}(X_{n+1}/W_{n+1}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, the map $H^{p+q}_{cris}(f_n) \otimes W_n (= \mathrm{Id})$ can be identified with $H^{p+q}_{DR}(f_n)(= \mathrm{Id})$ and hence it preserves the Hodge filtrations. The map $H^{p+q}_{cris}(f_n) \otimes W_n$ induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^p_{Hodge} H^{p+q}_{DR}(X_{n+1}/W_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & gr_F^{p-1} H^{p+q}_{DR}(X_{n+1}/W_{n+1}) \bigotimes_{W_{n+1}} (\pi^{n+1}) \\ & \searrow & \| \\ & & H^{q+1}(X_n, \Omega^{p-1}_{X_n/W_n}) \bigotimes_{W_n} (\pi^{n+1}) \end{array}$$

by the fact that (π^{n+1}) is a square zero ideal in W_{n+1}. In particular, we have the map $\rho(f_n)_q$ as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^p_{Hodge} H^{p+q}_{DR}(X_n/W_n) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+1}(X_n, \Omega^{p-1}_{X_n/W_n}) \bigotimes_{W_n} (\pi^{n+1}) \\ {\scriptstyle proj}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\rho(f_n)_q} & \\ H^p(X_n, \Omega^q_{X_n/W_n}) & & \end{array}$$

From [Pan16, Theorem 4.6], it follows that

$$\bigoplus_q \rho(f_n)_q = \pm \widehat{\Psi}_n(\mathrm{obs}(f_n))$$

where $\mathrm{obs}(f_n)$ is the obstruction element in

$$H^1(X_n, f_n^* T_{X_n/W_n}) \otimes (\pi^{n+1}) = H^1(X_0, f_0^* T_{X_0/k}) \otimes_k (\pi^{n+1}).$$

Since $H^m_{cris}(f_0) = \mathrm{Id}$ preserves the Hodge filtrations, we conclude that $\rho(f_n)$ is zero. It follows from the injectivity of $\widehat{\Psi}_n$ that $\mathrm{obs}(f_n)$ is zero. Hence, we have a formal automorphism $\varprojlim f_n$ on the formal scheme $\varprojlim X_n$.

By the Grothendieck existence theorem, the formal automorphism comes from an automorphism $\overline{f} : \overline{X}/W \to \overline{X}/W$. In other words, we can lift f over k to $\overline{f}$ over $W(k)$. Let $\overline{f}_K$ be the lifting over the fraction field $K = \mathrm{f.f}(W(k))$ of $W(k)$. By Proposition 5.1, we conclude that $\overline{f}_K$ is the identity. Consequently, the specialization f of $\overline{f}_K$ is also the identity. We prove the theorem. □

Appendix A. The infinitesimal Torelli theorem in arbitrary field

We give an exposition on infinitesimal Torelli theorem of complete intersections, a precise statement is given in Theorem A.1 and Theorem A.9. We present these notes because we need to apply a positive characteristic version of Theorem A.9 to deduce the faithfulness of automorphism actions on cohomology groups of certain complete intersections.

Flenner shows the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for certian complex manifolds [Fle86]. We find that the infinitesimal Torelli theorem is algebraic and one can show it for algebraic varieties over an arbitrary field as long as one takes a bit care with the duality between symmetric powers. The proof we exposed in §A.5 is different from that of Flenner's (we find the spectral sequence argument makes the book-keeping less painful).

A.1. Divided powers. Recall that the rth *divided power* of a locally free sheaf E on a scheme, notation $D_r(E)$, is defined to be the dual

$$D_r(E) = \mathrm{Sym}^r(E^*)^*. \tag{A.1.1}$$

If $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is a local frame of E, then we write

$$e_1^{(i_1)} \cdots e_n^{(i_n)}$$

to be the element dual to the basis elements

$$(e_1^*)^{i_1} \cdots (e_n^*)^{i_n}.$$

If $u = \sum u_i e_i$, one defines

$$u^{(r)} = \sum_{p_1+\cdots p_n=r} u_1^{p_1} \cdots u_n^{p_n} e_1^{(p_1)} \cdots e_n^{(p_n)}. \tag{A.1.2}$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of basis. There is a natural pairing between divided power and symmetric power:

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{p+s}(E) \otimes D_s(E^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}^p(E), \tag{A.1.3}$$

dual to the algebra structure on $D_*(E^*)$.

The bialgebra structure on the symmetric algebra $\mathrm{Sym}^*(E)$ defines a bialgebra structure on $D_*(E)$.

Situation A.2. Let X be a smooth, proper scheme pure dimension n over a field k. Assume that there exists a short exact sequence of locally free sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^1_X \to 0.$$

Let p be a positive integer no larger than n. We make the following two hypotheses:

(i) the map

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, D_{n-p}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(X, D_{p-1}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X, D_{n-1}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X^2)$$

is surjective;

(ii) for all $0 \leq j \leq n-2$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^{j+1}(X, \mathrm{Sym}^j(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1-j}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) = 0.$$

We have a long exact sequence of locally free sheaves

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}(\mathcal{G}) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{G} \otimes \bigwedge^{p-2}(\mathcal{F}) \to \bigwedge^{p-1}(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_X^{p-1} \to 0. \tag{A.2.1}$$

Dualizing (A.2.1), replacing p by $p+1$, we get

$$(\Omega_X^p)^* \to \bigwedge^p(\mathcal{F}^*) \to \mathcal{G}^* \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1}(\mathcal{F}^*) \to \cdots \to D_p(\mathcal{G}^*) \to 0. \tag{A.2.2}$$

Since the pairing

$$\Omega_X^p \otimes \Omega_X^{n-p} \to \omega_X$$

is a perfect pairing, tensoring ω_X to (A.2.2) and change p by $n-p$ yields

$$0 \to \Omega_X^p \to \bigwedge^{n-p}(\mathcal{F}^*) \otimes \omega_X \to \cdots \to D_{n-p}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X \to 0. \tag{A.2.3}$$

When $p = 1$, dualize (A.2.3) yields

$$0 \to \omega_X^* \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathcal{G}) \to \cdots \to \omega_X^* \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \to T_X \to 0. \tag{A.2.4}$$

A.3. Three resolutions. Following Flenner, we introduce three complexes T, A and B of locally free sheaves that resolve the sheaves T_X, Ω_X^p and Ω_X^{p-1} respectively. Let notations be as in Situation A.2. Define

$$T_\bullet = \{\omega_X^* \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathcal{G}) \to \cdots \to \omega_X^* \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}\} \tag{A.3.1}$$

placed at cohomological degree $-(n-1), \ldots, -1, 0$;

$$A^\bullet = \{\bigwedge^{n-p}(\mathcal{F}^*) \otimes \omega_X \to \cdots \to D_{n-p}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X\} \tag{A.3.2}$$

placed at cohomological degree $0, 1, \ldots, n-p$; and

$$B_\bullet = \{\mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}(\mathcal{G}) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{G} \otimes \bigwedge^{p-2}(\mathcal{F}) \to \bigwedge^{p-1}(\mathcal{F})\} \tag{A.3.3}$$

placed at cohomological degree $-(p-1), \ldots, -1, 0$. Then we have quasi-isomorphisms

$$T \to T_X[0], \quad \Omega_X^p[0] \to A, \quad B \to \Omega_X^{p-q}[0].$$

The contraction

$$T_X \otimes \Omega_X^p \to \Omega_X^{p-1}$$

thus induces a morphism

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T \otimes A \to B, \tag{A.3.4}$$

in the derived category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{Coh}}(X)$. The morphism $\langle ., . \rangle$ has an explicit description: By mulitilinear algebra, there are natural contractions

$$\bigwedge^{s+t}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \bigwedge^s(\mathcal{E}^*) \to \bigwedge^t(\mathcal{E}), \quad \mathrm{Sym}^{s+t}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes D_s(\mathcal{E}^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}^t(\mathcal{E}).$$

Note that the hypothesis A.2(ii) says precisely that

$$\mathrm{H}^{j+1}(X, T_j) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-2.$$

Remark A.4. The map $u_{ij} : T_i \otimes A^j \to B_{i-j}$ is precisely induced by the above contractions of the summands of the source; and u is the totalization of u_{ij} when i and j vary. Although one would put faith on it as "what else could it be", the verification is a bit annoying. Flenner did this in his paper, but since we rarely use this description, let's not reproduce the lengthy proof.

A.5. Spectral sequences. We shall prove the infinitesimal Torelli theorem by an induction argument with the help of certain spectral sequences. There are spectral sequences

$$ {}^T E_1^{-i,j} = \mathrm{H}^j(X, T_i), {}^A E_1^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^j(X, A^i), {}^B E_1^{-i,j} = \mathrm{H}^j(X, B_i) $$

abutting to $\mathrm{H}^*(X, T_X)$, $\mathrm{H}^*(X, \Omega_X^p)$ and $\mathrm{H}^*(X, \Omega_X^{p-1})$ respectively. The map (A.3.4) thus induces a morphism

$$ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m : {}^T E_m^{-i,j} \otimes {}^A E_m^{s,t} \to {}^B E_m^{s-i,j+t}. $$

on the level of spectral sequences (i.e., compatible wit differentials). This follows from the construction of the spectral sequences (since the naïve filtration, which is used to define these spectral sequences is preserved under the tensor product).

Ultimately we are interested in the map

$$ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(X, \Omega_X^p) \to \mathrm{H}^{n-p+1}(X, \Omega_X^{p-1}). \tag{A.5.1} $$

The product structure on the spectral sequences eventually computes the tensor product of assoicated graded vector spaces for the infinitesimal Torelli map.

We wish to prove that (A.5.1) is injective on the first factor, in the sense that if an element $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X)$ induces the zero linear function, i.e., $\langle \xi, \cdot \rangle = 0$, then ξ must be zero. The relevant terms in ${}^T E_m^{-i,j}$ are those with $j - i = 1$.

Hypothesis A.2(ii) says that ${}^T E_1^{-i,j} = 0$ for all $j - i = 1$ and $i \neq -(n-1)$. Since $(-(n-1), n)$ is the left highest edge of the spectral sequence, we infer that $\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X) = {}^T E_\infty^{-(n-1),n}$ and is the intersection

$$ \bigcap_m \mathrm{Ker}(d_m^{-(n-1),n}) \subset {}^T E_1^{-(n-1),n}. $$

We shall denote by V_{n-1-m} the subspace $\mathrm{Ker}(d_m^{-(n-1),n})$ of $V_{n-1} = {}^T E_1^{-(n-1),n}$. Then $V_m \subset V_{m-1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X)$ is V_0. It turns out Flenner's condition (i) of Hypothesis A.2 puts some nondeneneracy on the first stage of the spectral sequences.

Lemma A.6. *Let notations be as above. The pairing*

$$ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V_0 \otimes {}^A E_1^{n-p,0} \to {}^B E_1^{-(p-1),n} $$

is injective on the first factor.

Proof. By the definition of the spectral sequence, the pairing is

$$ \mathrm{H}^n(X, \omega_X^* \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1}(\mathcal{G})) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(X, D_{n-p}(\mathcal{G}^*) \otimes \omega_X) \to \mathrm{H}^n(X, \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}(\mathcal{G})). $$

But saying the injectivity on the first factor amounts to saying, thanks to the Serre duality, that the pairing in Situation A.2 (i) is surjective. We win. □

Lemma A.7. *For all $m > 0$, we have*

$$V_{n-m} \otimes {}^{A}E_{m}^{n-p,0} \to {}^{B}E_{m}^{-(p-1),n}$$

is injective on the first factor.

Proof. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{n-m+1} \otimes {}^{A}E_{m-1}^{n-p,0} & \longrightarrow & {}^{B}E_{m-1}^{-(p-1),n} \\ \uparrow & \downarrow\!\downarrow & \uparrow \\ V_{n-m} \otimes {}^{A}E_{m}^{n-p,0} & \longrightarrow & {}^{B}E_{m}^{-(p-1),n} \end{array}$$

The right vertical arrow in injective since the coordinate $(-(p-1), n)$ of the spot forces so (it's the left-most highest spot for ${}^{B}E$). By the definition of V_{n-m}, an element v of it satisfies the property

$$\langle v, d_{m-1}a \rangle = 0.$$

Since ${}^{A}E_{m}^{n-p,0}$ is defined to be the quotient ${}^{A}E_{m-1}^{n-p,0}/\mathrm{Im}(d_{m-1})$, we see the second horizontal pairing is indeed injective on the first fact, by induction on m. □

Theorem A.1. *Let notations be as in Situation A.2. The pairing*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(X, \Omega_X^p) \to \mathrm{H}^{n-p+1}(X, \Omega_X^{p-1})$$

is injective on the first factor.

Proof. Let ξ be an element in $E_{\infty}^{-(n-1),n} = V_0 = \mathrm{H}^1(X, T_X)$ that pairs to zero with the second factor. Then it kills all elements ${}^{A}E_{\infty}^{n-p,0}$ (the right lowest edge of the spectral sequence) since this is a subspace of $\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(X, \Omega_X^p)$. By Lemma A.7, ξ is zero. This completes the proof. □

A.8. Applications to complete intersections. Flenner's theorem has several applications. Most notably is the validity of the infinitesimal Torelli for complete intersections in projective spaces. Using Theorem A.1, Flenner, in his original paper, proves the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for complete intersections by verifying the his conditions (i) and (ii) for them. The proof is about linear algebra of polynomials, and only uses the Bott vanishing theorem for the projective space as extra input, hence does not depend on the ambient field. The only possible issue is the duality between symmetric power and divided power, but this is readily resolved by noticing the following fact: If $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ are line bundles on a variety X, then there is an isomorphic of *bialgebra*

$$D_*(L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r) \cong \bigoplus L_1^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_r^{i_r}.$$

This is true, since the dual algebra of D_* is the symmetric algebra, and the symmetric algebra version of this isomorphism is well-known.

Proposition A.9. *Let k be any algebraically closed field. Let X be a complete intersection of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_c)$ in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n+c}$. Then the conditions* (i), (ii) *of Hypothesis A.2 are verified for the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} \mathcal{O}_X(-d_i) \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{n+c}}|_X \to \Omega^1_X \to 0.$$

except the cases listed below. Hence the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for all complete intersections except for the ones listed below.

There are a few cases that (i) and (ii) do not verify, these exceptions are:

(1) (2,2) complete intersections,
(2) even dimensional (2,3) complete intersections,
(3) even dimensional (2,2,2) complete intersections,
(4) cubic fourfolds,
(5) quartic K3 surfaces, and
(6) quadrics.

As is well-known, the infinitesimal Torelli fails for quadrics and even dimensional (2,2) complete intersections.

We need the infinitesimal Torelli to apply our theorem to complete intersections in projective space of a field of positive characteristic. Since cubic fourfolds has been dealt with in the paper of the second-named author, for that application we shall still verify the infinitesimal Torelli holds true for (2,2,2) and (2,3) complete intersections of even dimension. Flenner, in his original paper, already proves these cases by other means (modifying his scheme of proofs). But we decide to provide an exposition to these facts for the sake of completeness. Both cases are proven similarly. Flenner treated the case (2,2,2); so we shall illustrate our method by dealing with (2,3) complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{2p+2}$.

Recall that the Bott vanishing theorem asserts that the cohomology groups

$$\mathrm{H}^b(\mathbb{P}^r, \Omega^a_{\mathbb{P}^r}(\ell))$$

vanish except in the following cases:

- $b = a$, $\ell = 0$,
- $b = 0$, $\ell > a$, or
- $b = r$, $\ell < a - r$.

For a smooth (2,3) complete intersection X in $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^{2p+2}$, there might be one extra term in ${}^T E_1$ that is nonzero, namely ${}^T E_1^{-(p-1),p}$. This term is

$$\mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}|_X \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}(\mathcal{O}_X(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-3)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2p-2)).$$

By binomial theorem, this cohomology group can be decomposed into a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)|_X)^{\oplus \binom{p-1}{i}}$$

By the Bott vanishing theorem and the spectral sequence associated to the Koszul complex, one sees that the only nontrivial summand in the decomposition is

$$k \cong \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}|_X).$$

If this 1-dimensional space is killed by some differential of ${}^TE$, then it won't contribute anything to the eventual infinitesimal Torelli map, and we already win. If the contrary holds, and suppose $\xi \neq 0$ kills all the space $\mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_X)$. Let's derive a contradiction. Then each element $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_X) = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^p_X[p])$ induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\langle \cdot, \eta \rangle : {}^TE^{a,b}_m \to {}^BE^{a,b+p}_m.$$

At the E_1-stage, by identifying ${}^BE_1^{-(p-1),2p}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{2p}(X, \omega_X)$ and ${}^TE_1^{-(p-1),p}$ with $\mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}|_X)$, this map is identified with the cup-product map

$$\cup \eta : \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}|_X) \to \mathrm{H}^{2p}(X, \omega_X). \tag{A.9.1}$$

Since ${}^TE_1^{-(p-1),p} = {}^TE_\infty^{-(p-1),p}$, the image of the above map actually falls in ${}^BE_\infty^{-(p-1),2p} \subset \mathrm{H}^{2p}(X, \omega_X)$. The map (A.9.1) is not always zero as the pairing

$$\mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}}|_X) \otimes \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega_X) \to \mathrm{H}^{2p}(X, \omega_X).$$

is not identically zero (which also yields $\mathrm{H}^{2p}(X, \omega_X)$ survives in ${}^BE_\infty$).

References

[AJ00] Bertin, José and Mézard, Ariane Déformations formelles des revêtements sauvagement ramifiés de courbes algébriques. *Invent. Math.*, 141(1):195–238, 2000.

[Ben13] Olivier Benoist. Séparation et propriété de Deligne-Mumford des champs de modules d'intersections complètes lisses. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 87(1):138–156, 2013.

[Che13] Xi Chen. Rational self maps of Calabi-Yau manifolds. *A celebration of algebraic geometry*, 171–184, Clay Math. Proc., 18, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 2013.

[DM69] P. Deligne and D. Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):75–109, 1969.

[Fle86] Hubert Flenner. The infinitesimal Torelli problem for zero sets of sections of vector bundles. *Math. Z.*, 193(2):307–322, 1986.

[Ill72a] Luc Illusie. *Complexe cotangent et déformations. II.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 283. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[Ill72b] Luc Illusie. Cotangent complex and deformations of torsors and group schemes. In *Toposes, algebraic geometry and logic (Conf., Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., 1971)*, pages 159–189. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 274. Springer, Berlin, 1972.

[JL] Ariyan Javanpeykar and Daniel Loughran. Complete intersections: Moduli, torelli, and good reduction. `arXiv:1505.02249v1 [math.AG]`.

[Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata. Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: "Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle" [J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 2, 279–291; MR1144440 (93e:14015)]. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(2):183–190, 1992.

[Lev01] Norman Levin. The Tate conjecture for cubic fourfolds over a finite field. *Compositio Math.*, 127(1):1–21, 2001.

[MM64] Hideyuki Matsumura and Paul Monsky. On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 3:347–361, 1963/1964.

[Pan15] Xuanyu Pan. Automorphism and cohomology I: Fano variety of lines and cubic. *Preprint 2015*, 2015.

[Pan16] Xuanyu Pan. p-adic Deformations of Graph Cycles. *Arxiv Preprint*, 2016.

[Poo00] Bjorn Poonen. Varieties without extra automorphisms. II. Hyperelliptic curves. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7(1):77–82, 2000.

[Rei72] Miles Reid. The complete intersection of two or more quadrics. *Thesis*, 1972.

[Riz06] Jordan Rizov. Moduli stacks of polarized $K3$ surfaces in mixed characteristic. *Serdica Math. J.*, 32(2-3):131–178, 2006.

[SGA73] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz.

[Tia87] Gang Tian. Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric. In *Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 629–646. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.

[Wew05] Stefan Wewers. Formal deformation of curves with group scheme action. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 55(4):1105–1165, 2005.

632 Central Academic Building, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, CANADA

E-mail address: xichen@math.ualberta.ca

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, Bonn, Germany 53111

E-mail address: panxuanyu@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3651,

E-mail address: dzhang@math.stonybrook.edu